



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/699,776	10/30/2000	Mitchell Joseph Alosa Morris	MJAM-1999-002	7022
7:	590 03/10/2003			
Mitchell Joseph Alosa Morris		EXAMINER		
	100 Old Lyme Road Purchase, NY 10577		RUDE, TIMOTHY L	
Purchase, in i	10377			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	
			DATE MAILED: 03/10/2003	}

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			An
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/699,776	MORRIS, MITCHELI ALOSA	L JOSEPH
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Timothy L Rude	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence addr	9SS
THE REPLY FILED 23 February 2003 FAILS TO PL Therefore, further action by the applicant is required final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eithe condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	to avoid abandonment of t er: (1) a timely filed amendi ppeal (with appeal fee); or	his application. A proper rep ment which places the applic	lly to a ation in
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or	r b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of this event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lat ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W 706.07(f).	s Advisory Action, or (2) the date se ter than SIX MONTHS from the ma VAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONT	illing date of the final rejection. HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. S	ee MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ear CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the short (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	extension and the corresponding an tened statutory period for reply origi	nount of the fee. The appropriate extending set in the final Office action; or (ension fee under 2) as set forth in
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appells CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 			,
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entere	ed because:		
(a) M they raise new issues that would require for	urther consideration and/or	search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see No		,	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	• •	al by materially reducing or si	implifying the
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without car	nceling a corresponding nu	mber of finally rejected clain	ns.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		• •	
3.☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	ejection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wo canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ould be allowable if submitt	ed in a separate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ reques application in condition for allowance because		een considered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed	SOLELY to issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendm explanation of how the new or amended claim			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follo	ws:		
Claim(s) allowed:		,	
Claim(s) objected to: 18 and 19.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17 and 20</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a) □ approved or b) □	disapproved by the Exam	iner.
		· ·	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure State	_ , ,,	r No(s).	

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/699,776





Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendments to claims would result in limitations that require further consideration and search The proposed amendment would change the number of base claims and change claim dependancies. The proposed amendment to claim 1 is extensive and, although it does appear to overcome some prior art in hand, it is not clear that the numerous proposed limitations to claim 1 would be sufficient to overcome all prior art of record, so further substantial consideration and search will be required.

TOANTON TOANTON PRIMARY EXAMINER